



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,667	05/01/2006	Michiaki Omura	W1878.0232	6962

32172 7590 03/02/2010  
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP  
1633 Broadway  
NEW YORK, NY 10019

EXAMINER
----------

DONABED, NINOS J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2444

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

03/02/2010

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/577,667	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OMURA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> NINOS DONABED	<b>Art Unit</b> 2444	

**All Participants:**

(1) NINOS DONABED.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 February 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Joseph Ragusa (38586).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 2 PM

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*The 103 rejection was discussed*

**Claims discussed:**

*All claims*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Kenji, Bais, and Notomi*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner contacted Applicant's Attorney in response to the After Final dated 2/3/2010 in order to amend the claims and further the case along. The examiner recommended incorporating details of the distribution server's relationship with the plurality of cameras. Examiner stated that further search and consideration would be needed.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444  
/N. D./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)